

9TH JUNIOR COMPETITION CONFERENCE

at the

COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL

on

6 February 2015

**CONTROL OF UNILATERAL CONDUCT AND ‘THE
GOLDILOCKS DILEMMA’:
TOO MUCH, TOO LITTLE OR JUST RIGHT?**

&

**THE NEW FRONTIER: COMPETITION LAW
IN THE FINANCIAL SERVICES SECTOR**

PROGRAMME

Organisers:

David Bailey, Brick Court Chambers
Christopher Brown, Matrix Chambers
Molly Herron, Herbert Smith Freehills LLP
Sarah Long, Allen & Overy LLP
Assisted by
Vian Quitaz, Uppsala University

9TH JUNIOR COMPETITION CONFERENCE

COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL

FRIDAY 6 FEBRUARY 2015

13.30 Registration

14.00 Introductory Remarks

14.10 Session One – Control of Unilateral Conduct and ‘the Goldilocks Dilemma’: Too Much, Too Little or Just Right?

Annalisa Tosdevin, Slaughter & May

“Willing or not willing, that is the question”: thoughts on the Motorola Mobility decision

Ben Smith, Baker & McKenzie LLP

Arriva v Luton Airport: Driving a Bus through Commercial Freedom?

John Townsend, Devereux Chambers

Competition in Telecommunications Markets – Requiem for a Fixed Line Margin Squeeze

Discussion

15.30 Tea

16.00 Session Two – Competition Law in the Financial Services Sector

Martim Valente, Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP

The CDS Case: The First Casualty of Cartes Bancaires?

San Sau Fung, RBB Economics

The Economics of Benchmark Manipulation & Information Exchanges in Financial Trading Markets – Is there a Competition Problem?

Michael Hales, Lloyds Banking Group

Is Competition Law the Right Tool to Protect ‘Vulnerable’ Consumers?

Discussion

17.30 Close ~ informal drinks reception